

CCPA Litigation Tracker

- Cases identified based upon public court filings and may not include cases filed in state courts that do not publicly post complaints, or cases that do not directly identify the CCPA in cover sheets
- Please contact jena.valdetero@bcplaw.com or sheek.shah@bcplaw.com if you are aware of a case filing not identified within the litigation tracker

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
1-Aug-19	1-Jan-05	Financial Institution	Fisher, Jewell, Clark, Stevens, and Thaxton, et al.	3:19-cv-04485	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Contains a cause of action for violation of the CCPA. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant failed to protect customers PII which resulted in a data breach in which consumer information was exposed almost 15 years prior. Plaintiffs seek actual damages, prejudgement interest, order of restitution, injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. One of Plaintiff's is a resident of California while the others reside in different states in which they have claimed violations of CCPA in their respective states.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
29-Nov-19	April 2, 2019 - June 20, 2019	Healthcare/Medical Supplies	Maldonado, et al.	3:19-cv-2284-H-KSC	USDC Southern District of California	USDC Southern District of California	Plaintiff allege several causes of action against Defendant, a medical device supplier, for failing to maintain its duty to adequately safeguard Plaintiffs' personal and medical information. Plaintiffs bring claims for negligence, negligence per se, breach of contract, breach of implied contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment, the Cal. Unfair Competition Law, the Penn. Unfair Trade Practices statute, the Cal. Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, and a request for declaratory relief. The CCPA is referenced in the introduction of the Complaint.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
10-Dec-19	28-Jun-19	Healthcare/Medical Supplies	Bickford, Halbstein-Harris, and Mercado, et al.	3:19-cv-2368-H-KSC	USDC Southern District of California	USDC Southern District of California	CCPA not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiffs allege negligence, negligence per se, breach of contract, breach of implied contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment, violation of Cal. Unfair Competition Law, violation of Conn. Unfair Trade Practices Act, violation of N.C. Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and violation of Cal. Confidentiality of Medical Information Act for failure to adequately protect their personal and medical information, and for failure to timely notify impacted individuals. Plaintiffs requests actual, statutory and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
3-Feb-20	Between 9/16/19 and 11/11/19	Retail	Barnes, et al.	3:20-cv-00812-DMR	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Entitlement to CCPA statutory damages in connection with a data breach. Amended Complaint expressly asserting a cause of action under the CCPA. (Amended Complaint Filed on 3/9/20)	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
18-Feb-20	N/A	Security - Smart home	Sheth et al.	2:20-cv-01538	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Contains a cause of action for violation of the CCPA. Plaintiff alleges the Defendant violated CCPA by collecting and using PII without providing consumers with notice under CCPA, and by failing to provide notice to consumers of their right to opt-out of the Defendant's sale of their personal information to third parties, also violating CCPA. Notably, prior to filing the Complaint, Plaintiff services Defendant with notice of these violations. Plaintiffs are now seeking injunctive relief.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
6-Mar-20	June 11, 2019 - June 18, 2019	Healthcare	Hernandez, et al.	20STCV09237	Superior Court of Los Angeles	Superior Court of Los Angeles	CCPA not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiff alleges the Defendant committed "unlawful" business practice by failing to properly safeguard Plaintiffs' PII/PHI and violating applicable laws, including CCPA.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
10-Mar-20	4-Sep-19	Healthcare	Fuentes, et al.	8:20-cv-00487	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Contains a cause of action for violation of the CCPA. Notably, lawsuit was filed before the 30-day cure period had run. Seeks injunctive relief w/ order enjoining Defendant from continued CCPA violations, otherwise will seek punitive/statutory damages, restitution, attorneys fees.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
19-Mar-20	2-Jan-20	Healthcare	Alizadeh, et al.	20cv00799	Superior Court of Butte	Superior Court of Butte	CCPA not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Defendant, an operator of medical facilities, suffered a ransomware attack, resulting in the disruption of medical services and allowing for the potential access to the Plaintiffs' PII/PHI. Plaintiff alleges the Defendant committed "unlawful" business practice by failing to properly safeguard Plaintiffs' PII/PHI and violating applicable laws, including CCPA.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
30-Mar-20	N/A	Technology - Communication	Cullen, et al.	5:20-cv-02155	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a video communications provider, is in violation of the CCPA by failing to properly disclose information collection practices and failing to provide notice to its customers of their right to opt-out of the disclosure of their PII to third parties, also violating CCPA.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
31-Mar-20	N/A	Technology - Communication	Taylor, et al.	5:20-cv-02170	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a video communications provider, is in violation of the CCPA by failing to properly disclose information collection practices and failing to provide notice to its customers of their right to opt-out of the disclosure of their PII to third parties, also violating CCPA.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
3-Apr-20	January 2020 - February 2020	Hospitality	Rahman, et al.	8:20-cv-00654	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Plaintiff, a resident of California, alleges that Defendant, a hospitality company, is in violation of the CCPA by failing to properly maintain security measures to protect the PII of its customers. Plaintiff alleges that PII was shared with an unauthorized third party via a data security breach and that Defendant failed to adopt proper security measures to protect customer PII. Seeks injunctive relief w/ order enjoining Defendant from continued CCPA violations, punitive/statutory damages, restitution, attorneys fees.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
3-Apr-20	N/A	Technology - Communication	Ohlweiler, et al.	5:20-cv-3281	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a video communications provider is in violation of the CCPA by failing to inform consumers prior to collecting PII (and sharing with third parties) and that Defendant's product contains security deficiencies which expose private communications of consumers. Contains a cause of action for violation of the CCPA. Seeks injunctive relief with an order enjoining Defendant from continued CCPA violations punitive/statutory damages, restitution, attorney's fees.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
7-Apr-20	N/A	Technology	Burke, et al.	1:20-cv-03104-UA	USDC Southern District of California	USDC Southern District of California	<p>Plaintiffs alleges that Defendant, a facial recognition software company, violated CCPA by unlawfully collecting, capturing, purchasing, receiving through trade, obtaining, selling, leasing, trading, disclosing, redisclosing, disseminating, and/or otherwise profiting from or using Plaintiffs' photographs and biometric information and identifiers by secretly scraping Plaintiffs' images from websites, including social media accounts, in violation of the websites' privacy policies.</p> <p>In addition to attorney's fees, Plaintiffs seek amount of damages specified in the CCPA or actual damages, whichever is greater, as well as profits from the unauthorized use of their information.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
13-Apr-20	N/A	Technology	Hurvitz, et al.	2:20-cv-03400	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	<p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants, two social media companies, are in violation of the CCPA and other consumer protection laws by failing to inform consumers prior to collecting PII when consumers would log into a third party video communications platform using their social media account login information through the use of SDKs and APIs. The complaint further alleges that the information was used for undisclosed purposes without providing notice. (Complaint amended May 12, 2020).</p> <p>Plaintiff brings this action for statutory, compensatory and punitive damages, as well as declaratory and injunctive relief, in order to:</p> <p>(a) require Defendants to provide monetary relief for their unlawful, unfair and deceptive conduct;</p> <p>(b) require Defendants to disgorge their ill-gotten gains; and</p> <p>(c) prevent and preclude Defendants from engaging in similar conduct in the future.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
13-Apr-20	1-Apr-20	Technology	Kondrat, et al.	5:20-cv-02520	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a video communications provider is in violation of the CCPA by failing to inform consumers prior to collecting PII (and sharing with third parties) and that Defendant's product contains security deficiencies which expose private communications of consumers.</p> <p>Contains a cause of action for violation of the CCPA. Seeks injunctive relief with an order enjoining Defendant from continued CCPA violations punitive/statutory damages, restitution, attorney's fees.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
14-Apr-20	N/A	Technology-Communication	Jimenez, individually, on behalf of her minor child M.F., et al.	5:20-cv-2591	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a video communications provider, is in violation of the CCPA by failing to properly disclose information collection practices and failing to provide notice to its customers of their right to opt-out of the disclosure of their PII to third parties, also violating CCPA. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate CCPA, restitution, compensatory and statutory damages, and attorneys' fees</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
14-Apr-20	N/A	Technology-Communication	Lawton, et al.	3:20-cv-2592	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a video communications provider, is in violation of the CCPA by failing to properly disclose information collection practices and failing to provide notice to its customers of their right to opt-out of the disclosure of their PII to third parties, also violating CCPA. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate CCPA, restitution, compensatory and statutory damages, and attorneys' fees.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
16-Apr-20	January 17, 2020 - January 20, 2020	Healthcare Device Manufacturer	Lopez, et al.	3:20-cv-00723	USDC Southern District of California	USDC Southern District of California	Plaintiff alleges Defendant, a medical device manufacturing company, violated CCPA by subjecting the nonencrypted and nonredacted personal and medical information on of class members to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure as a result of Defendant's violation of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures an practices. Data Breach was a result of a phishing attack. Plaintiff also alleges Defendant violated HIPAA, CMA, Cal. Consumer Records Act, Cal. Unfair Competition Law, as well as Negligence and Breach of Contract. Lead Plaintiff is resident of Texas. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate CCPA. If Defendant fails to respond to Plaintiff's notice letter, Plaintiff will seek actual, punitive, and statutory damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
16-Apr-20	January 17, 2020 - January 20, 2020	Healthcare Device Manufacturer	Henrichsen, et al.	3:20-cv-00732	USDC Southern District of California	USDC Southern District of California	CCPA not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant, a medical device company, failed to maintain reasonable and adequate security measures to secure, protect, and safeguard the PII/PHI it collects and stores on its network, even though it promises that its servers on which it stores data are highly secure and designed to comply with the requirements of HIPAA and CCPA.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
17-Apr-20	N/A	Technology	Sweeney, et al.	3:20-cv-00742	USDC Southern District of California	USDC Southern District of California	Plaintiffs, all residents of California, allege Defendant, a social networking application that allows for multiple users to video chat simultaneously, violated CCPA by routinely disclosing users PII to unauthorized third parties, including third party advertisers, without the required notice to consumers or their consent from January 1, 2020 to April 17, 2020. Plaintiff alleges the Defendant also violated CCPA by failing to provide a clear and conspicuous "Do Not Sell My Personal Information" link on their website. Although the Plaintiff concedes the Defendant does disclose certain sharing practices through its online privacy policy, Plaintiff argue there is no specific disclosure regarding the sharing of PII with "third parties... which are not 'advertising parties'."	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
17-Apr-20	N/A	Technology	Henry, et al.	5:20-cv-02691-SVK	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Plaintiff alleges Defendant, a video communications provider, violated the CCPA by using customers' information without providing the required notice notifying Plaintiff and Class members that it was disclosing their information to unauthorized parties. Plaintiff alleges Defendant also violated CCPA by failing to provide notice to its customers of their right to opt-out of the disclosure of their information to unauthorized third parties. Plaintiff also alleges Defendant failed to adequately protect Plaintiff's and Class members' information from data breach.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
24-Apr-20	N/A	Technology - Communication	Greenbaum, et al.	5:20-cv-02861-NC	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	CCPA not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief, but it is mentioned as a part of the Plaintiff's third Claim for Relief under the Cal. Bus. Code - Unfair Competition Law. Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant, a video communications provider, failed to provide accurate disclosures to its users about sharing their data and the Defendant failed to implement adequate security protocols.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
27-Apr-20	January 22, 2020 - January 24, 2020	Healthcare - Genetic Testing	Bodsky, et al.	8:20-cv-00811	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a genetic testing company, failed to implement and follow reasonable security measures resulting in the exposure of Plaintiff's personal information and protected health information. Although Plaintiff mentions the violation of the CCPA, amongst other statutes, in the introduction of the complaint, the CCPA is not actually listed as a cause of action nor is it the basis for a claim for relief.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
29-Apr-20	N/A	Technology	Buxbaum, et al.	5:20-cv-02939	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	Plaintiffs, all residents of California, allege Defendant, violated CCPA by failing to notify consumers that it has acquired personal information from the consumer, that such information is being sold, and that the consumer has the right to opt out of the sale of their personal information. Further, Plaintiffs allege that by allowing user names and passwords to be exfiltrated in a data breach, the Defendant has violated another provision of CCPA. Plaintiffs seek damages as well as injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate CCPA.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
1-May-20	January 22, 2020 - January 24, 2020	Healthcare - Genetic Testing	Pascoe, et al.	8:20-cv-00838	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Plaintiff alleges Defendant violated CCPA by subjecting the nonencrypted and nonredacted personal and medical information of class members to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure as a result of Defendant's violation of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate the CCPA. If Defendant fails to respond to Plaintiffs' notice letter, Plaintiffs will also seek actual, punitive, and statutory damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs. Note: only one of the named Plaintiffs resides in California.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
1-May-20	N/A	Technology	Arriaza, et al.	20-CV-366439	Superior Court of Santa Clara	Superior Court of Santa Clara	<p>Plaintiff, a California resident, alleges that the Defendant, a video communications provider, violated CCPA by collecting and using personal information without providing consumers with notice consistent with the requirements of CCPA and failing to prevent Plaintiff's nonencrypted and nonredacted personal information from unauthorized disclosure as a result of Defendant's violation of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices.</p> <p>Further, Plaintiff alleges Defendant violated the unlawful prong of the Cal. Unfair Competition Law by violating CCPA.</p> <p>Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate the CCPA. If Defendant fails to respond to Plaintiff's notice letter, Plaintiff will also seek actual, punitive, and statutory damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
4-May-20	N/A	Technology	Kirpekar et al.	5:20-cv-03042	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>Plaintiff, a resident of California, alleges Defendant, a video communications provider, violated CCPA by routinely disclosing users PII to unauthorized third parties, including third party advertisers, without the required notice to consumers or their consent.</p> <p>Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate the CCPA. Plaintiff also seeks actual, punitive and treble damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs and pre and post judgement interest.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
14-May-20	January 22, 2020 - January 24, 2020	Healthcare - Genetic Testing	McMurphy, et al.	8:20-cv-904	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	<p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a genetic testing company, failed to implement and follow reasonable security measures resulting in the exposure of Plaintiff's personal information and protected health information.</p> <p>Although Plaintiff mentions the violation of the CCPA, amongst other statutes, in the introduction of the complaint, the CCPA is not actually listed as a cause of action nor is it the basis for a claim for relief.</p>	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
20-May-20	14-May-17	Technology	G.R., a Minor by and Through Her Guardian Mayra De La Cruz, et al.	2:20-cv-4537	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	<p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants, a social media application violated CCPA by failing to obtain consent to distribute and disclose that it was collecting and sharing biometric identifiers such as facial recognition technology scans of its users. In addition Defendants failed to provide notice to users that it was sharing such information with third party entities. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing to violate the CCPA. Plaintiff seeks Defendants to delete all biometric information of members of the class. Plaintiff also seeks actual, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs and pre and post judgement interest, any other injunctive or declaratory relief as deemed necessary.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
26-May-20	29-Feb-20	General Business Services	Karter, et al.	30-2020-01145269-CU-MC-CXC	Superior Court of Orange	Superior Court of Orange	Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, a provider of general administrative business services to law firms, violated the CCPA by subjecting their social security numbers to unauthorized access and exfiltration, as a result of Defendant's duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices. Plaintiffs allege no other claims. Plaintiffs seek actual and punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and injunctive relief with an order enjoining Defendant from further violating the CCPA. See below - was removed to CDCA on July 29th, 2020.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
28-May-20	November 4, 2019 - March 2020	Technology; SaaS; Education	Gupta, et al.	8:20-cv-00995-FMO-ADS	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant, a developer of an industry leading student data management system software, failed to implement and maintain reasonable safeguards and failed to comply with industry-standard data security practices, including the heightened duty owed to Plaintiffs as minors, as required under CCPA, resulting in a data breach that compromised Plaintiff's PII. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief in the form of an order enjoining Defendant from continuing the practices that constituted its breach of duty owed to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are serving letter of notice to Defendant and anticipate amending the complaint to seek statutory damages upon receipt of a written statement from Defendant in response to notice letter.	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
29-May-20	January 17, 2020 - January 20, 2020	Healthcare Device Manufacturer	DeLuna,Soraghan, Rodriguez, et al.	CIV DS 2010795	Superior Court of San Bernardino	Superior Court of San Bernardino	Plaintiff alleges Defendant, a medical device manufacturing company, violated CCPA by subjecting the nonencrypted and nonredacted personal and medical information on of class members to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure as a result of Defendant's violation of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices. Data Breach was a result of a phishing attack. Plaintiff also alleges Defendant violated HIPAA, CMIA, Cal. Consumer Records Act, Cal. Unfair Competition Law, as well as Negligence and Breach of Contract. Plaintiff seeks actual, punitive, statutory, restitution, pre/post judgement interest, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
1-Jun-20	Prior to January 2020	Technology	Burns, et al.	2:20-cv-04855	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	CCPA is not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief, but is referenced in the complaint. Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant, a mobile application developer that developed an app that allows brands to promote their products to consumers that are primarily 13-25 years of age, has committed an unlawful practice and failed to implement and maintain reasonable security measures as prescribed by various regulatory authorities and legislative public policy, including CCPA.	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
11-Jun-20	6-May-20	Online Retail/Technology	Atkinson and Renvall, et al.	3:20-cv-03869	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, an online marketplace for home goods, violated CCPA by subjecting the nonencrypted and nonredacted personal and personal information of class members to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure as a result of Defendant's violation of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices. The data breach was a result of an external hack. Plaintiffs also allege claims under the Cal. Unfair Competition Law, as well as negligence, breach of contract, and breach of implied contract.</p> <p>Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief with an order enjoining Defendant from continued CCPA violations, as well as compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, as well as attorneys fees.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
1-Jul-20	November 11, 2016 - February 16, 2017	Online Retail/Technology	Pygin, et al.	3:20-cv-04412	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, an online retailer and an e-commerce platform, failed to detect and safeguard against a 2016 data breach, resulting in the exposure of customer personal information. The data breach was a result of an external hack utilizing malicious code.</p> <p>Plaintiffs bring claims for negligence, negligence per se, invasion of privacy, a violation of the Cal. Unfair Competition Law, and for a declaratory judgment. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, as well as compensatory, statutory, nominal and punitive damages, restitution, disgorgement, and attorneys' fees.</p>	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
7-Jul-20	9-Jul-19	Retail Technology	Hayden, et al.	8:20-cv-01203	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	<p>CCPA is not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, a retailer, shared their personal information with a third-party without their authorization or consent. The third-party then used that personal information to provide a "risk score" that the retailer could use to make determinations about processes such as returns, exchanges, and suspected fraud. The case is brought against both the retailer and the third party.</p> <p>Plaintiffs bring claims for invasion of privacy, violations of the Cal. Unfair Competition Law, unconscionability, defamation per se, violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, and unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief as well actual and statutory damages, restitution, disgorgement, class notification costs, pre and post judgment interest, and attorneys' fees.</p>	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
10-Jul-20	N/A	Online Retail/Technology	Lavarious Gardiner, et al.	4:20-cv-4618	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>CCPA is listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, an online retailer, violated CCPA by subjecting the nonencrypted and nonredacted personal and information of class members to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure as a result of Defendant's violation of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices. Plaintiffs allege an external hack.</p> <p>Plaintiffs also bring claims for negligence, the Cal. Unfair Competition Law, breach of contract, breach of implied contract, and breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages, injunctive relief and attorneys' fees .</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
14-Jul-20	7-May-20	Healthcare	Edwards-Aguilar and Buffer, et al.	N/A	Superior Court of Merced	Superior Court of Merced	<p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, a non-profit health plan, failed to adequately protect confidential patient medical information, resulting in information being subject to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft or disclosure. The unauthorized access was a result of an email compromise data breach.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege violation of the Cal. Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, negligence, and violation of the Cal. Unfair Competition Law. Plaintiffs cite violation of the CCPA's duty to reasonably safeguard information as the "unlawful" behavior that constitutes a violation of the Unfair Competition Law. Plaintiffs seek nominal damages, actual damages, attorneys' fees, prejudgment interest, and injunctive relief.</p>	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
20-Jul-20	April 30, 2020 - June 5, 2020	Healthcare	J.P. and S.P., et al.	T20-193	Superior Court of Monterey	Superior Court of Monterey	<p>CCPA not listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, a health care provider, failed to implement or follow reasonable data security procedures as required by law, resulting in unauthorized access to patient information. The unauthorized access was the result of an email compromise hack.</p> <p>Plaintiffs bring a claim for violation of the Cal. Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, negligence, and the Cal. Unfair Competition Law. Plaintiffs seek nominal and actual damages, as well as prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, restitution, and injunctive relief.</p>	CCPA is referenced in the complaint	Pleadings
22-Jul-20	11-May-20	Technology	Flores-Mendez and Collins ,et al.	4:20-cv-4929	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>CCPA is listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, an online matchmaking application, violated the CCPA by failing to protect personal information of class members from unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft or disclosure as a result of viloations of its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices.The data breach was a result of an external hack.</p> <p>Plaintiffs also bring a negligence claim and a violation of the Cal. Unfair Competition law, as well as a declaratory judgment count. Plaintiffs seek injunctive /equitable relief, compensatory, punitive and statutory damages in addition to attorneys' fees.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings

Date Filed	Date Breach Occurred	Industry Type	Named Plaintiff	Docket No.	Court Filed	Court Pending	Allegation	CCPA Reference	Status
23-Jul-20	N/A	Online Retail/Technology	L. P., a minor; et al.	4:20-cv-4960	USDC Northern District of California	USDC Northern District of California	<p>CCPA is listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, an online retailer of personalized photographs violated the CCPA by failing to disclose to it's consumers that it utilizes facial recognition technology and stores the biometric information in its database. In addition, Defendant failed to inform consumers as to the purposes for collecting personal information, made available to the consumers the option to opt out of the sale or sharing of personal information, obtain explicit parental consent for consumers under the age of 16, and failed to disclose the PII on it's online privacy policy.</p> <p>Plaintiffs also bring a claim for violations of the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act, the Cal. Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, and the Cal. Unfair Competition Act. Plaintiffs seek pre- and post-judgement interest, restitution, injunctive /equitable relief including permanently destroying the biometric identifiers it has collected from Plaintiffs and CCPA Class members; compensatory, punitive, statutory damages and attorneys' fees.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings
29-Jul-20	1-Jan-20	Provider of legal services to law firms, corporations, financial institutions and government agencies.	Kartner, et al.	8:20-cv1385	USDC Central District of California	USDC Central District of California	<p>CCPA is listed as a cause of action or claim for relief. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, a provider of legal services to law firms, corporations, financial institutions and government agencies that assists with streamlining administrative matters including court reporting, eDiscovery, etc. failed to protect consumers' personal information, implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the personal information which resulted in the exfiltration of nonencrypted and nonredacted personal information which was the target of a ransomware attack. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, statutory damages. See above - Removal from Orange County Superior Court (June 30, 2020) that was filed on June 30th.</p>	CCPA is a count in the complaint	Pleadings